

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>1.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE STUDY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2.0 REPORT ORGANIZATION, TERMINOLOGY AND DATA SOURCES .....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Report Organization.....	2
2.2 Data Sources .....	3
2.3 Terminology .....	3
<b>3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL, ECONOMIC/FISCAL, AND SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY FRAMEWORK .....</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	5
3.2 Sustainability Considerations .....	7
<b>4.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE COUNTY'S RURAL ROAD NETWORK AND CURRENT PRACTICES .....</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1 Existing Functional Classification Criteria and Geometric & Surfacing Standards .....	9
4.2 Traffic Volumes Status.....	10
4.3 Surface Type Status .....	10
4.4 Road-top Width Status.....	11
4.5 County's Historical Expenditures on Rural Roads .....	12
4.5.1 Historical Rural Roads Budgets as a Percentage of the County's Total Budgets .....	12
4.5.2 Current County Expenditures by Functional Classification and Work Type .....	13
4.5.3 Current County Expenditure Allocations in Comparison to the 1995 RRMP Recommendations.....	14
4.5.4 Comment on Budget Allocation among Functional Classes .....	15
4.6 Overview of the County's Historical Rehabilitation / Overlay Practices.....	15
4.6.1 Historical Practices and their Consequences – Class I Roads.....	15
4.6.2 Historical Practices and their Consequences – Class II Coldmix Roads .....	16
4.6.3 Historical Practices and their Consequences – Class III Gravel Roads .....	18
4.6.4 Historical Practices and their Consequences – Class IV Dust-Suppressed Roads...	19
4.6.5 Historical Practices and their Consequences – Country Residential Roads .....	19
4.6.6 Current Practices and their Consequences – Rural Hamlet Roads .....	20
<b>5.0 PUBLIC CONSULTATION WITH RURAL RESIDENTS .....</b>	<b>20</b>
5.1 Introduction .....	20
5.2 Questionnaire Survey of all Rural Residents .....	21
5.2.1 The Mail Out Questionnaire .....	21
5.2.2 Questionnaire Return Statistics .....	21
5.2.3 Results of the Questionnaire Survey and Discussion of the Major Issues Raised .....	22

	PAGE
Questions 1 to 6: Rating of Rural Road Classes.....	22
Question 7: Importance of Priority Setting Factors .....	23
Question 8: Importance of Road Improvement Types .....	24
Question 9: Importance of Measures to Sustain Budgets and Help the Environment	24
Question 10: Response Rate by Electoral Ward.....	25
Question 11: General Comments .....	25
5.3 Public Open Houses .....	26
5.4 Major Observations from the Public Consultation .....	27
5.5 Workshop with County Council .....	28
<b>6.0 FRAMEWORK FOR NEED PRIORITIZATION AND SUSTAINABLE BUDGET ALLOCATION .....</b>	<b>28</b>
6.1 Scenario for Estimated Internal Budget Savings and their Re-allocation .....	28
6.2 Framework for Prioritization of Needs.....	29
<b>7.0 ROAD SAFETY .....</b>	<b>32</b>
7.1 General Evaluation of the County's Rural Road Safety Program.....	32
7.2 Evaluation of Line Marking on Narrow Roads.....	33
<b>8.0 OVERLAY CYCLES AND NARROWING ROAD WIDTHS .....</b>	<b>34</b>
8.1 Recommendations Regarding Overlay Cycles .....	35
8.2 How to Preserve Width or Delay Width Loss .....	35
<b>9.0 RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING CLASS I ROAD NETWORK .....</b>	<b>37</b>
9.1 Recommended Regime for Coldmix (un-improved) Class I Roads.....	37
9.2 Recommended Regime for Improved Hotmix Class I Roads.....	38
<b>10.0 RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING CLASS II ROAD NETWORK .....</b>	<b>39</b>
10.1 Recommended Overlay Regime for Coldmix Class II Roads.....	39
10.2 Recommendations for Overlaying Improved Class II Roads with Hotmix .....	40
10.3 Recommendations Regarding Widening of Narrow Class II Roads.....	40
<b>11.0 RECOMMENDATION REGARDING RE-GRAVELLING FREQUENCY ON CLASS III AND IV GRAVEL ROADS .....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>12.0 RECOMMENDATION REGARDING COUNTRY RESIDENTIAL ROADS .....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>13.0 RECOMMENDATION REGARDING HAMLET ROADS.....</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>14.0 RECOMMENDATIONS RE. OVERLAY CYCLES FOR ROADS IN SPECIALIZED AREAS .....</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>15.0 RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING SUSTAINABLE BUDGET ALLOCATIONS .....</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>16.0 REVIEW OF RURAL ROAD FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION AND DESIGN STANDARDS .....</b>	<b>44</b>
16.1 Introduction .....	44

	PAGE
16.2 Review of the Functional Road Classification System / Criteria.....	45
16.3 Review of the Rural Road Design Standards.....	46
<b>17.0 CONCLUDING COMMENTS .....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>48</b>

## TABLES

Table 1	Summary of Selected Elements of Current Rural Road Design Standards
Table 2	Kilometres by Surface Type & Average Traffic Volumes on Various Rural Road Classes (2008)
Table 3	Kilometres in Various Traffic Volume Ranges on Rural Grid Roads
Table 4	Rural Road Kilometres by Road Classification in Various Road-Top Width Ranges (2008)
Table 5	Strathcona County Historical Budgets for Rural Roads as % of Total County Budgets
Table 6	Average 4-Year (2006-2009) Annual Rural Road Expenditure Allocations by Road Class & Type of Work
Table 7	Rural Roads Budget Allocations Recommended in the 1995 Rural Roads Master Plan
Table 8	Average 4-year (2006-2009) Expenditures by Road Class Compared to 1995 RRMP Recommendations
Table 9	Alternative Strategies for Preserving or Delaying Pavement Width Loss
Table 10	Characteristics of Hotmix and Coldmix Overlays
Table 11	Rural Roads Budget Distribution: 1995 RRMP, Current and Future Recommended
Table 12	Recommended Future Budget Allocation Percentages Compared to the 1995 Recommendations and the 2006-2009 Actual Expenditure Allocations
Table 13	Proposed Classification System Criteria

## FIGURES

Figure 1	Strathcona County Grid Road Network Showing Traffic Counts
Figure 2	Surface Condition History : Class I Roads with a Coldmix Surface
Figure 3	Surface Condition History: Class I Roads with a Hotmix Surface
Figure 4	Surface Condition History: Class II Road with a Coldmix Surface
Figure 5	Surface Condition History : CRS Roads with a Coldmix Surface
Figure 6	Surface Condition History: CRS Roads with a Hotmix Surface
Figure 7	Surface Condition History: Hamlet Roads with Coldmix and Hotmix Surfaces

Figure 8	Survey Questions 1 - 6
Figure 9	Survey Question 7
Figure 10	Survey Question 8
Figure 11	Survey Question 9

## PHOTOGRAPHS

Typical Class I, II, III and IV Roads

## APPENDICES

Appendix A	Standard Detailed Drawings for Class I, II, III Grid Roads and Country Residential Roads
Appendix B	Public Consultation Survey Questionnaire
Appendix C	Questionnaire Survey Results (with FOIP-Compliant Question 11 Comments)
Appendix D	Public Open House Results
Appendix E	Analysis of Class II Coldmix Roads Overlaid in 2008 and 2009
Appendix F	Analysis of CRS Coldmix Roads Overlaid in 2008 and 2009
Appendix G	Site Ranking and Safety Review
Appendix H	Line Marking Technical Memo
Appendix I	Review of Rural Road Functional Classification and Design Standards